

## Spectral Comparison of the Xoft and Zeiss 50 kVp X-ray Systems

L.A. Kelley, R.W. Holt and T.W. Rusch



## **Objective**

Compare spectra from the Xoft Axxent<sup>®</sup> and Zeiss Intrabeam<sup>®</sup> x-ray sources <u>after filtration</u> by saline-filled balloons and rigid polymer applicators, respectively, with the same diameters as a first step toward evaluating relative biological effectiveness of each dose delivery system.

## **Measurement Geometry**

- X-ray spectra were measured for human-use x-ray sources and applicators
  - Xoft Axxent<sup>®</sup> Model S700 was measured within saline-filled Axxent<sup>®</sup> Balloons in the Xoft corporate dosimetry lab
  - Zeiss Intrabeam<sup>®</sup> Model PRS 500 was measured within rigid polymer applicators using a clinically operational system in California<sup>\*</sup>
- A precision X-Y-Z stage was used since source-tospectrometer alignment was critical

\* Thank you to Sutter Medical Center, Sacramento, CA

#### Spectrometer and Applicator Alignment

#### X-ray spectrometer



Xoft balloon



#### Zeiss applicator

#### **X-ray Spectrum Measurements**

- An AmpTek XR-100T-CdTe x-ray spectrometer was used
  - Applicator surface-to-spectrometer entrance window distance was 52 mm as defined by a collimator housing
- The Axxent and Intrabeam sources were operated at 50 kV and 40 μA to eliminate pulse saturation
  - About 500,000 counts were accumulated for each spectrum
- Spectra were corrected using AmpTek XRF-FP software to remove escape event artifacts

#### **Spectra for 3.5 cm Diameter Applicators**



Broad Bremsstrahlung background with low energy characteristic lines

#### **Spectral Correction**



Escape event correction (green) for the 4 cm Xoft balloon spectrum

#### **3.5 cm Diameter Applicators**



#### Xoft and Zeiss spectra



#### After escape correction

## **Effect of Applicator Diameter**



Relatively more low energy attenuation by larger diameter saline-filled balloons

## **Observations on Spectra**

- Xoft anode: Tungsten film on an aluminum nitride substrate with yttria binder
  - Tungsten L-lines at 8.4, 9.8 and 11.3 keV
  - Yttrium K-lines at 14.9 and 16.7 keV
- Zeiss anode: Gold film on a beryllium substrate
  - Gold L-lines at 9.7, 11.5 and 13.4 keV
- After filtration by the applicators, the spectral shapes are essentially identical
  - Broad Bremsstrahlung distributions
  - Minor contribution from characteristic x-rays

#### **Quantitative Comparison of Spectra**

Applicator Diameter (cm)	After Escape Correction			Above 12 keV After Escape Correction		
	Average Energy (keV)		Percent	Average Energy (keV)		Percent
	Xoft	Zeiss	Difference	Xoft	Zeiss	Difference
3.5	28.5	27.9	2.0%	28.7	28.7	0.1%
4.0	29.6	28.3	4.6%	30.0	29.2	2.8%
5.0	32.6	30.8	5.6%	32.8	31.1	5.1%

• Average energies are equal within 1.7 keV

## **Summary**

- X-ray spectra were measured with a Cd-Te spectrometer
  - Xoft source in 3.5, 4.0 and 5.0 cm diameter saline-filled balloons
  - Zeiss source in 3.5, 4.0 and 5.0 cm diameter solid applicators
- Applicator size determines average energies rather than type of x-ray source
- For Xoft and Zeiss applicators of the same diameter
  - Average energies were the same within 1.7 keV or 5.6%



# Thank you



#### **Comparison of Depth-Dose Curves**



About 25% higher dose in water for the Axxent source at 4 cm from the source axis